THE INDIAN JOURNAL OF CRIMINOLOGY & CRIMINALISTICS

IJCC
Volume: 1, Issue: 2
December 2025
E-ISSN: 3139-7778

APPLICATION OF NANOTECHNOLOGY IN LATENT FINGERPRINT VISUALIZATION

Prajwal Biswas[1], Dr. Satwik Chatterjee[2]

biswasprajwal@gmail.com[1], drsatwikc356@gmail.com[2]
9916508734[1], 6291506514[2]
ORCID ID: 0009-0009-8064-6648[2]

Bachelor of Science in Biotechnology (Swami Vivekananda Institute of Modern Science, Kolkata); Pursuing Master of Science in Forensic Science (National Forensic Sciences University, Tripura)[1]
Bachelor of Dental Surgery (Geetanjali Dental & Research Institute, Udaipur), Fellowship in Forensic Odontology & Applied Jurisprudence (Dr. DY Patil Deemed to be University, Navi Mumbai), Pursuing Master of Arts in Psychology (Amity University, Jaipur) & Master of Science in Forensic Science (Adamas University, Kolkata)[2]

Abstract

Latent fingerprint is left by a person unintentionally and only visible after being developed with using various techniques. The latent fingerprint detection is the backbone of forensic science which helps the criminal identification and apprehension. Conventional powder and chemical techniques have a high success rate, though various challenges like variations in surface types and environmental conditions. Nowadays, incorporating nanoparticles in this field has significantly enhances the rate of success. Nanoparticles improved the sensitivity, specificity, and resolution of latent fingerprint visualization. This article will look into various principles, methodological techniques, nanoparticles that can be incorporated in fingerprint development, real life applications and emerging and evolving practices which are improving overall effectiveness and reliability. The article will look into that how various types of nanomaterials, including gold nanoparticles, quantum dots, carbon nanotubes, and metal-organic frameworks can be used in fingerprint development. The article additionally discusses visualization methods, such as fluorescence, electrochemical sensing, and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS). Moreover, prevalent issues, ethical concerns, and future directions in forensic applications are critically assessed.

This review paper has been done on published literature from 2000 to 2024 regarding the application of nanotechnology in latent fingerprint visualization. Various research related sites and books have been used to prepare on this topic, using keywords such as "nanoparticles", "quantum dots", "carbon nanotubes", and "latent prints." Inclusion focused on peer-reviewed studies comparing nanomaterial-based techniques with conventional approaches. Exclusion criteria included non-English papers and case reports without technical validation.

Nanomaterial-based methods, like using gold nanoparticles, quantum dots, carbon nanotubes, and metal-organic frameworks which improved sensitivity, substrate compatibility, and chemical specificity. Mechanisms like fluorescence, surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), and electrochemical sensing deliver not only visualization but also chemical profiling of analytes within prints. Real-world studies demonstrate recovery of latent prints from challenging substrates and in degraded conditions, outperforming traditional approaches.

Though promising, nanotechnology-driven techniques face challenges regarding standardization, cost, toxicological risk, and legal admissibility. Future research should focus on biosafe materials, AI-powered analysis, field-portable kits, and globally harmonized protocols.

Keywords: *Carbon nanotubes, forensic science, latent fingerprints, nanotechnology, nanoparticles, quantum dots, SERS, visualization*

INTRODUCTION

Fingerprint evidence is one of the most reliable forms of individual identification in forensic investigations (*Champod et al., 2016*). A fingerprint is the unique pattern of ridges and valleys on a fingertip, which can be transferred in impression form on a surface and use for identification. Fingerprints are based on the *stratum basale* which is the deepest layer of the epidermis which plays the

main role in fingerprint formation. Latent fingerprints can be seen by unaided eye. These are composed of natural secretions from the skin such as amino acids, fatty acids, and salts (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*). Their successful detection and visualization are critical for linking suspects to crime scenes. Over the years, several conventional methods, like conventional powder dusting, chemical techniques like cyanoacrylate fuming, and amino acid-specific reagents like ninhydrin and 1,8-diazafluoren-9-one (DFO), have been employed to visualize these prints. (*Champod et al., 2016; Sodhi & Kaur, 2001*). However, factors like type of surface, environmental exposure and the age of fingerprint can affect the results which leads to damage to delicate evidence or poor yield on various multicolor backgrounds (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*).

Nanotechnology has surfaced with various approaches to address these limitations (*Ma et al., 2019*). Nanotechnology is use to manipulate the materials at the nanoscale (1–100 nanometers), where substances exhibit unique optical, electrical, and chemical properties that differ from their bulk materials (*Lu et al., 2015*). These nanoscale features enable highly sensitive and specific interactions with fingerprint residues, resulting in enhanced visualization and detailed ridge pattern clarity. Nanomaterials such as gold nanoparticles, quantum dots, carbon nanotubes, magnetic nanoparticles, and luminescent metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have shown remarkable potential for detecting faint, aged, or contaminated latent prints (*Zhang et al., 2012; Mejía et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2015*).

The integration of nanotechnology in forensic science enhances the visualization. Metallic nanoparticles enhance surface plasmon resonance for improved optical imaging (*Nallathamby & Xu, 2010*), quantum dots provide tunable fluorescence for multicolor detection (*Zhang et al., 2012*), and carbon-based nanomaterials facilitate electrochemical sensing for identifying trace substances within fingerprints (*Ma et al., 2019*). These advancements allow simultaneous chemical profiling of residues, which offer insights into an individual's biological or environmental exposure.

However, despite these advantages, various challenges also arises. There are some certain nanomaterials which have toxic materials, high production costs, and the absence of standardized forensic protocols limit widespread adoption (*Ma et al., 2019*). Ethical considerations related to privacy, data handling, and consent also arise when advanced nanotechnological methods reveal chemical or biological information beyond traditional fingerprinting (*Ferguson & Gill, 2007*).

This review critically examines the principles, materials, and metho ds underlying nanotechnology-based fingerprint visualization. It evaluates their comparative performance with traditional techniques, highlights current research findings and case studies, and discusses limitations, ethical concerns, and future directions. By consolidating recent advancements, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how nanotechnology is reshaping latent fingerprint detection and its potential implications for the future of forensic investigations.

TRADITIONAL METHODS OF LATENT FINGERPRINT DEVELOPMENT

Latent prints which are not visible, are hard to detect. They are formed by water, oils, fatty acids, amino acids and other secretions from the skin and perspiration (*Champod et al., 2016*). Identifying these latent prints is essential in criminal investigations to recognize the individual. Conventional techniques for identifying these hidden fingerprints have been utilized for many years due to their simplicity and effectiveness, like powder techniques, chemical techniques like cyanoacrylate fuming, and substances like ninhydrin and DFO. These are simple , cost effective and used for forensic practices for a long period (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*), yet they have various drawbacks.

2.1 Powder Dusting

Powder dusting is one of the oldest and most commonly practiced physical methods to visualize latent fingerprints on non-porous surfaces, primarily glass, plastic, and metal (*Sodhi & Kaur, 2001*).

- **Principle**: The method relies on the adherence of fine powders to the moisture, oils, and residue of other substances forming from the finger ridge patterns. When the powder is applied via a brush in a sweeping motion, the powder adheres to the moisture, oils, and other residue, effectively showing the fingerprint.
- **Categories of powders:** Various powders can be utilized depending on the surface and background hue (black powder (carbon or graphite), grey powder (aluminum), white powder (titanium oxide), bichromatic powder, magnetic powder, fluorescent powders, metallic powders, etc.) (*Champod et al., 2016*)..

- **Procedure**: The examiner gently uses a soft brush to dust the area which might contain the fingerprint with powder, while simultaneously removing the excess powder, resulting in a powder pattern that emphasizes the fingerprint.
- **Benefits**: This method is relatively fast, cost-effective, and straightforward to implement at the crime scene.
- **Uses**: It is mainly applied to non-porous materials like glass windows, plastic bottles, and metallic weapons

2.2 Cyanoacrylate Fuming

This is a chemical technique frequently utilized for the enhancement of latent fingerprints found on non-porous surfaces (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*).

- **Principle**: When cyanoacrylate ester vapor interacts with fingerprint residues (liquid and/or organic substances), it polymerizes, leading to the formation of a hard, white polymer that becomes visible on the ridges of the fingerprints
- **Procedure**: The object to be processed is placed into a sealed chamber. The cyanoacrylate glue is heated until the vapors form. The vapors dissipate into the viewing chamber settling on any fingerprint residue. Once polymerization is complete, the polymerized print will appear as a white pattern on the surface of the object.
- **Advantages**: Create permanent prints that can be further developed using dyes or powders like Rhodamine 6G or Basic Yellow 40. Works on a wide range of non-porous surfaces, such as plastic bags, glass and metal.
- **Applications**: Very often used on household items, weapons, and plastic bags (*Champod et al., 2016*)..

2.3 Ninhydrin and DFO

These are chemical substances primarily intended to reveal latent fingerprints on porous materials such as paper or cardboard (*Champod et al., 2016*).

- **Ninhydrin**:
  - **Principle**: Ninhydrin reacts with amino acids found in fingerprint sweat generating a purple blue product known as Ruhemann's purple (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*).
  - **Procedure**: The porous material is dipped or sprayed with a ninhydrin solution and allowed to dry. Once dry, the color develops in hours - or can be sped up with gentle heating.
  - **Advantages**: Ninhydrin is sensitive and significantly specific to amino acids making it very useful for locating latent prints on paper documents.
- **DFO**:
  - **Principle**: DFO is similar to ninhydrin but instead generates a fluorescent product which can be visualized. The development must take place under specific light, usually blue-green with an orange filter (*Sodhi & Kaur, 2001*).
  - **Advantages**: DFO is sensitive than ninhydrin so if there is only faint prints ninhydrin will not develop anything.
  - **Applications**: Mostly used on porous surfaces where powdering will not develop the prints.

2.4 Limitations of Conventional Techniques

Though traditional latent fingerprint development methods are beneficial methods in forensic science, they come with limitations (*Champod et al., 2016; Lee & Gaensslen, 2010; Sodhi & Kaur, 2001*):

- **Surface specificity**: Some methods function on more limited types of surfaces. For example, powder dusting may not be effective on porous surfaces, while ninhydrin is ineffective with non-porous surfaces.
- **Destructive**: Some chemical methods may cause damage to delicate evidence such as documents or delicate fabrics.
- **Environmental factors**: Latent prints can be damaged from environmental factors such as heat, moisture, and contamination that lessen the possibility of development.
- **Contamination**: On highly colored surfaces or patterned surfaces, powders or chemical stains may blend with the background making realization difficult.
- **Time consuming**: Some chemical reactions, such as development with ninhydrin, can require hours or days for the fingerprints to fully develop.

- **Operator error**: Powder dusting requires a light hand to avoid destroying the prints, while improper application will result in poor quality prints or completely contaminated prints.
- **Limited enhancement:** Traditional methods may not provide sufficient sensitivity or detail of extremely faint or aged prints compared to modern methods with nanotechnology or newly developed chemical methods.
- **Uses of Chemical products:** Many traditional reagents (e.g., ninhydrin, DFO or cyanoacrylate) contain hazardous or toxic chemicals that must be properly handled, ventilated and disposed of, endangering forensic personnel health and safety (*Lee & Gaensslen, 2010*). Also powder dusting like Black powder could also be a potential risk of cancer by a continuous exposure.

NANOTECHNOLOGY AND ITS FORENSIC APPLICATIONS

Nanotechnology involves the manipulation of materials at atomic and molecular level, typically between 1 to 100 nanometers. They have unique physical, chemical, optical, and magnetic properties that allow them to effectively improve latent fingerprint patterns even on the most difficult of surfaces. These properties enhance significant advantages for crime scene investigation and forensic analysis, particularly in latent fingerprint enhancement, trace evidence examination, drug detection, and DNA analysis. In this section, we will explore several important nanomaterials and their unique roles in fingerprint visualization.

3.1 Definition and Scope

- **Definition**: Nanotechnology is the intersection of science, engineering, and the practical use of materials at nanometer dimensions, typically ranging from 1 to 100 nanometers. At this scale, materials exhibit unique optical, magnetic, electrical, and chemical characteristics that differ from their bulk counterparts, and enables advanced applications across various scientific fields (*Bhattacharya et al.*, 2016).
- **History:** Richard Feynman first proposed about "Nanotechnolgy" *i.e.* manipulating matter at the atomic level in 1959. Over the decades, advancements in nanomaterials synthesis and characterization led to applications across medicine, electronics, and forensic science. The incorporation of nanotechnology into forensic investigations began in the early 2000s, primarily for enhanced fingerprint visualization and trace substance detection.
- **Application in Forensics**: Nanotechnology finds application in numerous forensic areas, such as:
  - Imaging latent fingerprints using gold nanoparticles, silver nanoparticles, and carbon nanotubes (*Jeong et al.*, 2015).
  - Detection of illicit drugs and toxins with highly specific and sensitive nano-biosensors (*Singh et al.*, 2018).
  - Identification of explosives and toxic substances through nanoparticle-based colorimetric assays (*Wang et al.*, 2019).
  - DNA and protein analysis using nanostructures for biosensors and nanoarrays, allowing detection at extremely low concentrations (*Zhao et al.*, 2020).
  - Trace evidence detection, including fibers, paint, and gunshot residues, with improved sensitivity.

3.2 Advantages Over Traditional Methods

Nanotechnology has a number of advantages over standard forensic approaches.

1. High sensitivity and resolution: Nanoparticles interact at the molecular level, they can detect even tiny or degraded samples.

2. Non-destructive analysis: Numerous applications based on nanotechnology will maintain the integrity of the original evidence, enabling ongoing analysis and reducing further deterioration of the original material.

3. Surface enhancement: Metallic nanoparticles (such as silver and gold) are utilized in Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy (SERS), which can boost weak signals sufficiently to enable the detection of trace-level substances.

4. Multi-functional applications: Certain nanomaterials act as both detection agents and enhancers. For example, quantum dots can fluoresce under UV light, which assists in visualization.

5. Faster outcomes: Nano-based sensors and assays can often provide quicker outcomes than the chemical reaction for traditional methodologies.

6. Broader applicability: Nanomaterials can work on porous and non-porous surfaces, which many standard applications might not be able to do (*Tiwari et al.*, 2018).

3.3 Types of Nanomaterials

Forensic science uses many different types of nanomaterials with different characteristics and goals:

- **Metallic nanoparticles**: Gold (AuNPs) and silver (AgNPs) are nanoparticles that have been demonstrated/valued in fingerprint detection and SERS-based drug and toxins detection. Both exhibit strong plasmonic and optical properties (*Cao et al.*, 2019).
- **Quantum Dots (QDs)**: Man-made semiconductor nanoparticles that emit fluorescence when exposed to UV light. Employed in bioimaging and as fluorescent markers for biomolecules, for visualizing fingerprints, and even applicable on multicolored surfaces (*Smith et al.*, 2017).
- **Carbon Nanostructures:** Includes carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene. Utilized in fields like electrochemical detection, pharmaceutical identification, and trace metal measurement. Exhibit a significant surface area and excellent electrical conductivity (*Lee et al.*, 2018).
- **Magnetic Nanoparticles (such as Fe_3O_4):** Utilized for the magnetic control of latents and the separation of biological materials (including DNA extraction). Can be affected by an outside magnetic field (*Chen et al.*, 2016).
- **Silica Nanoparticles**: Porous nanomaterials utilized for encapsulating reagents or improving the visualization of fingerprint traces (*Kumar et al.*, 2017).
- **Nanocomposites**: Involves the incorporation of two or more nanomaterials that enhance the overall performance of the material (such as magnetic nanoparticles with a polymer coating) (*Zhang et al.*, 2021).

NANOMATERIALS FOR FINGERPRINT VISUALIZATION

Usage of Nanomaterials are the most modern forensic fingerprint detection practices. Their unique physical, chemical, optical, and magnetic properties allow them to effectively improve latent fingerprint patterns even on the most difficult of surfaces. In this section, we will explore several important nanomaterials and their unique roles in fingerprint visualization.

4.1 Gold Nanoparticles (AuNPs)

4.1.1 Properties Optical Properties:

Gold nanoparticles uses localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) to attain significant light absorption and scattering. This is beneficial for applications involving color measurement and imaging (*Jeong et al.*, 2015)..

- **High Surface Area:** Gold nanoparticles possess a large surface area, enabling significant interaction with fingerprint residues.
- **Biocompatibility and Functionalization**: Gold nanoparticles can be readily modified with thiols, antibodies, or various other ligands that facilitate highly specific attachment to a target.

4.1.2 Application in Fingerprints:

Applications of Gold nanoparticles enhance ridge patterns in latent fingerprints, often combined with chemical or immunological markers targeting amino acids or lipids. They are used in colorimetric and electrochemical detection, improving contrast on dark or multicolored surfaces (*Cao et al.*, 2019; *Singh et al.*, 2018).

4.2 Quantum Dots (QDs)

4.2.1 Fluorescence Properties

QDs are nanocrystals made of semiconductors and produce vibrant and stable fluorescence. QDs display an emission wavelength that can be adjusted based on size, with smaller QDs emitting blue light and larger QDs emitting red light (*Smith et al.*, 2017). They do not fade as easily as traditional dyes, which is significant for long-term imaging uses because of the QDs' excellent photostability

4.2.2 Multiplex Detection

QDs enable multiplexed imaging by allowing two or more types of colored QDs to focus on a particular element of a fingerprint (e.g., QDs aimed at amino acids, QDs aimed at salts, QDs aimed at fatty acids). This is especially helpful for differentiating overlapping fingerprints at a crime scene or for visualizing fingerprints against similarly intricate, multicolored backgrounds. This can be connected with various antibodies, peptides, or polymers to improve fingerprints clearer (*Zhao et al.*, 2020).

4.3 Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)

4.3.1 Conductivity and Structural Benefits

CNTs are tube-shaped carbon materials that display outstanding mechanical traits, a large surface area, and exceptional conductivity. They can be single-walled or multi-walled, depending on the presence of number of layers of graphene (*Lee et al.*, 2018).

4.3.2 Application in Electrochemical Sensing

CNTs are embedded in electrochemical sensors for detecting biochemical elements in fingerprint remnants. They also facilitate better electron transfer rates, which increase the sensitivity to identify drugs, explosives, or biological markers. CNT-based inks or sprays can improve the quality of latent prints on both porous and non-porous surfaces, ensuring maximum clarity (*Chen et al.*, 2016).

4.4 Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs)

4.4.1 Porosity and Surface Interaction

MOFs are crystallised and absorbent substances made up of metal ions with organic ligands. The tunable surface chemistry of MOFs and their porous design allows for considerable interactions with different fingerprint residues. MOFs can absorb organic compounds from fingerprint residues, such as fatty acids and amino acids (*Tiwari et al.*, 2018).

4.4.2 Luminescent MOFs in Fingerprint Imaging

Certain MOFs are capable of intrinsic fluorescence, while others can be altered to exhibit luminescence. The fluorescent metal-organic frameworks (LMOFs) can be employed to reveal hidden fingerprints under ultraviolet (UV) or visible light. The LMOFs provide notably greater contrast for dark or patterned surfaces and enhanced visibility of old or faint fingerprints (*Kumar et al.*, 2017).

MECHANISMS OF VISUALIZATION

Nanomaterials have transformed latent fingerprint detection by offering advanced visualization mechanisms that outperform traditional methods like powder dusting or cyanoacrylate fuming. These nanotechnology-based techniques provide superior **sensitivity, selectivity, and resolution**, allowing the detection of weak, aged, or degraded fingerprints on complex or multicolored surfaces (Almog, 2016; Dong et al., 2020). The main mechanisms include **fluorescent imaging**, **Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS)**, **electrochemical detection**, and **hybrid methods** (Wang et al., 2022).

5.1 Fluorescent Imaging

- **Principle**: Specific kinds of nanomaterials, such as quantum dots (QDs), fluorescent silica nanoparticles, and luminescent MOFs, exhibit strong fluorescence when illuminated with UV or visible light (*Liu et al.*, 2019).
- **Mechanism**: These nanoparticles are either applied directly on the fingerprint or employed alongside molecules that specifically attach to fingerprint residues (e.g., amino acids or lipids). Following excitation at a designated wavelength, the nanomaterial emits light that accentuates the ridge pattern. (*Xu et al.*, 2021).
- **Advantages**: High contrast visuals, even against colorful or patterned backdrops Long-lasting durability, the nanomaterial's fluorescence endures for months Capability for multiplexed imaging and the ability to differentiate overlapping patterns (*Zhao et al.*, 2020).
- **Applications**: Displaying fingermarks on banknotes, packaging, or any vibrant or see-through material (*Wang et al.*, 2022).

5.2 Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS)

- **Principle**: SERS enhances the Raman scattering signals from molecules when they adhere to a rough metallic surface or a metal nanoparticle (commonly silver or gold) (*Bécue*, 2016).
- **Mechanism**: Fingerprint impressions contains biochemicals (e.g., amino acids, urea, fatty acids). When nanomaterials are utilized, biochemical molecules attach to the nanoparticles, leading to enhanced Raman signals generated by the laser light that are specific to each distinct molecule (*Wang et al.*, 2021).
- **Benefits**: Highly sensitive, can breed trace-level components. Provides for chemical profiling of fingerprint components. Can separate natural residues from contaminant residues (drugs, explosives) (*D'Elia et al.*, 2020).

- **Applications**: SERS can assist in the chemical characterization of latent prints and detection and mapping of co-contaminants which may be present in fingerprints (*Lai et al.*, 2018)

5.3 Electrochemical Detection

- **Principle**: Electrochemical approaches measure the changes in current or potential due to redox activity involving fingerprints deposition, using electroactive nanomaterials, including carbon nanotubes or metal nanoparticles (*Zhou et al.,* 2019).
- **Mechanism**: Nanomaterials enhance the conductivity of an electrode, effectively enhancing not just its sensitivity, but the overall surface area, and capacity to detect target components in fingerprints (ionic salts, drugs, or metabolites) (*Wang et al.*, 2022).
- **Advantages**: Rapid and sensitive detection of some target component in fingerprints. Useful in portable or point of care forensic kits. Can be incorporated into miniaturized sensing devices (*Lin et al.*, 2021).
- **Applications**: Detection of illicit drugs, toxins, or biomarkers from fingerprint sweat using nanostructured sensors (*Huang et al.*, 2020).

5.4 Hybrid Techniques

- **Definition**: Hybrid techniques employ two or more visualization techniques or incorporate traditional techniques, alongside nanotechnology techniques, in order to enhance performance (*Garg et al.*, 2022).
- **Examples**:
  - **Fluorescent-SERS hybrid imaging**: using dual-mode probes to enable fluorescence for visualization, and SERS for chemical profiling (*Li et al.*, 2020)
  - **Electrochemical + Colorimetric sensors**: for rapid detection and visual verification, time and cost efficient (*Kaur et al.*, 2021).
  - **Magnetic nanoparticles + fluorescent dye conjugates**: were useful since print recovery and imaging were achieved in one step (*Wang et al.*, 2022).
- **Advantages**: Addressing the restrictions associated with individual methods. Multimodal or multiplex detection can improve accuracy and reliability. Type of sample may sometimes be different or difficult (generating signal from complex or mixed samples) (*Zhao et al.*, 2020).
- **Applications**: High-throughput forensic analysis, predominantly examples requiring simultaneous chemical profiling and visualization in forensics (*Dong et al.*, 2020).

APPLICATION AND METHODOLOGY

To visualize the latent fingerprints using nanomaterials, various application methods are followed. Also considerations such as the surface type, sample preparation, imaging approach, and any comparison has made with traditional approaches.

6.1 Substrate Compatibility

Nanomaterials exhibit various types of substrates

- **Types of Substrates**:
  - ***Porous substrates***: paper, cardboard and textile fabrics often retain fingerprint residues, making them suitable for detection using quantum dots and Silica nanoparticles.
  - ***Non-porous substrates***: glass, plastics and metals require nanomaterials like Gold Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes which can adhere fingerprint residues and enhance visualization.
  - ***Semi-porous substrates***: varnished wood and leather present various challenges because the absorption rates varies. But, magnetic nanoparticles and functionalized silica nanoparticles can adhere the fingerprint residues (*Kar*, 2022).
- **Importance**: Fingerprint development method performance is largely depended on the type of substrate on which the fingerprint found.
- **Nanomaterials flexibility**: Quantum dots and luminescent MOFs all perform well on multicolored or patterned backgrounds. Magnetic nanoparticles perform well on metallic and non-metallic substrates. Carbon nanotubes and electrochemical sensors will perform well on conductive or semi-conductive surfaces.
- **Advantage over conventional methods**: Traditional methods often fail or do not work well on wet, dirty, or multicolored substrates, with nanomaterials there is still the possibility of obtaining good

sensitivity and good resolution.

6.2 Sample Preparation

- **Stages Involved in Nanomaterial-based fingerprint development:**
  - **Surface preparation:** Clean the substrate to remove contaminants.
  - **Application of Nanomaterials:** By spraying, dipping or brushing are employed, depending on the nanomaterial's form.
  - **Functionalization:** Some nanomaterials are bonded with ligands for enhance the selectivity bind with fingerprint components.
  - **Incubation/Drying**: Allowing sufficient time for binding and drying.
  - **Imaging or Detection**: Utilizing UV/Laser light or electrochemical methods for visualization (*Singhal et al.*, 2024).
- **Features influencing the Quality of the Sample:**
  - Concentration and size of the nanomaterials Environmental factors (drying conditions—temperature and humidity)
  - Contact time and binding efficiency
- Whenever possible non-destructive or only mildly destructive methods work best in retaining evidence from fragile surfaces such as documents.

6.3 Imaging Techniques

- **Fluorescence Microscopy**: Utilizes quantum dots and luminescent MOFs to visualize fingerprints using UV or specific light sources.
- **Raman Spectroscopy (with SERS):** Utilizes gold/silver nanoparticles to enhance chemical signals for the signal of trace items in fingerprints.
- **Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):** Provides high-resolution images of fingerprint ridges when using metallic nanoparticles.
- **Electrochemical Sensors/Voltammetry**: Quantifies the presence of of certain analytes (e.g. drugs, explosives) in fingerprint sweat when using conductive nanomaterials such as carbon nanotubes.
- **Optical Microscopy with Colorimetric Indicators**: A simple, low-cost method using nanoparticles to change colors when in contact with fingerprint residues (*Wang et al.*, 2017).

6.4 Comparative Analysis with Traditional Methods

Fingerprint development approaches based on nanotechnology promise distinct advantages over established (or earlier) methods. Evaluations and comparisons can occur in multiple critically important areas:

- **Sensitivity**: Typical methods for developing fingerprints, such as powder dusting or traditional ninhydrin, have a moderate amount of sensitivity and will not detect faint or aged fingerprints. Nanomaterials, in contrast, demonstrate higher sensitivity in more than just detecting even the smallest, lowest quality latent print, and in their detecting aged latent prints.
- **Substrate and Surface Compatibility**: Typical forensic techniques are often limited by the substrates that they can be used on, and not well-suited for wet, dark, and multicolored backgrounds. The tunable properties of nanomaterials allow them to be usable on porous, non-porous, and complicated surface backgrounds.
- **Chemical Specificity**: Typical approaches cannot differentiate between/pinch or hold only the specific matter in fingerprints. Nanotechnology has unique functionalized nanoparticles that can bind and hold the specific matter of fingerprints selectively; for example, lipids, amino acids, and urea, which enhances distinguishability and sensitivity.
- **Health and Environmental Safety**: Various reproducible and established method approaches (ninhydrin, iodine, silver nitrate) rely upon hazardous or also toxic flammable chemicals that can pose health hazards. Alternatively, established and recycled nanotechnology-based approaches are environmentally safer, and also much healthier when biocompatible materials are used.
- **Destructiveness**: Typical methods (such as ninhydrin and silver nitrate) may adsorb to or damage fragile evidence, or modify the chemical composition, making the evidence unusable for re-examination. Nanotechnology may avoid cause similar destruction that relies on these earlier forensic methods.

- **Imaging Capabilities**: With conventional approaches, dark or patterned surfaces present poor contrast. In contrast, fluorescent nanomaterials and quantum dots provide high-contrast imaging and allow for visualization of better detail in the ridges.
- **Speed and Efficiency**: Chemical methods usually take longer to develop and have multiple steps. In contrast, nanotechnology-based systems, especially those combined with an electrochemical or optical sensor, can be rapid and used for point-of-care detection.
- **Cost and Technical Demands**: Conventional techniques are usually low-priced and low effort; however, nanotechnology methods may be more expensive and/or require specialized equipment. Nonetheless, the increased precision and versatility of nanotech-based methods demonstrate their value in important forensic investigations (*Mohammed et al.*, 2025).

CASE STUDIES AND RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS

Nanotechnology has been shown to enhance latent fingerprints through many experimental and field-based forensic studies. These case studies and research highlights show the practical use, compatibility with the substrate, and imaging properties of various nanomaterials.

7.1 Uses of Various Nanoparticles to enhance latent fingerprints

7.1.1. Gold Nanoparticles (AuNPs)

Title: Use of Antibody-Functionalized Gold Nanoparticles for Latent Fingerprint Development
Source: *Singhal, M., et al.* (2024). *Unveiling the Power of Nanoparticles.* NanoBioLetters.
Background: Gold nanoparticles possess unique optical properties due to localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR). Functionalization with antibodies allows selective binding to fingerprint residues, enabling enhanced visualization and chemical profiling. Traditional powder or chemical methods often fail on flexible plastics or dark surfaces.
Methods:

- AuNPs were conjugated with anti-amino acid antibodies.
- The nanoparticles were applied to the surface via immersion and allowed to bind to the fingerprint residues.
- Imaging was performed using optical microscopy and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) to detect both ridge patterns and chemical composition.

Outcome: The latent prints were clearly visualized with high ridge contrast. SERS analysis also provided chemical information, detecting residues from personal care products on the fingerprints.
Significance: This case demonstrated the dual advantage of AuNPs: clear ridge visualization and biochemical profiling. The method succeeded where conventional techniques failed.
Application: AuNPs are increasingly applied in forensic laboratories for polymer-based evidence, including plastic packaging, mobile devices, and glass surfaces, to recover both physical and chemical evidence.
Case Scenario and Real-life Application: In a professional theft at a luxury jewelry shop, recovering latent fingerprints from a glass display case and a plastic bag was challenging. Standard forensic techniques like powder dusting and cyanoacrylate fuming were ineffective due to the non-porous nature of the surfaces. Researchers employed gold nanoparticles functionalized with antibodies targeting amino acids and lipids present in sweat residues. These conjugates were applied to the surfaces, enhancing the visibility of latent prints through localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) effects. The method successfully revealed intricate ridge patterns, aiding in the identification of the perpetrator.

7.1.2. Quantum Dots (QDs)

Title: Application of CdTe Quantum Dots for Multiplexed Latent Fingerprint Imaging
Source: *Wang, M., et al.* (2017). *Fluorescent Nanomaterials for the Development of Latent Fingerprints.* Advanced Functional Materials.
Background: Quantum dots emit fluorescence at tunable wavelengths, allowing simultaneous visualization of multiple fingerprint constituents. Their photostability makes them suitable for aged or overlapping prints, where conventional reagents fail.
Methods:

- CdTe quantum dots were functionalized with ligands targeting amino acids, salts, and fatty acids.
- The QDs were sprayed onto the banknotes and incubated for binding.

- Visualization was conducted under UV light to reveal multiplexed fluorescent patterns corresponding to different fingerprint residues.

Outcome: Overlapping latent prints were distinctly visualized in multiple colors. Ridge details were clearly captured, enabling identification of suspects even on multicolored surfaces.
Significance: The case highlighted QDs' ability for multiplex detection and high-contrast visualization on complex surfaces.
Application: Quantum dots are used in forensic labs for document authentication, banknote examinations, and recovery of prints on patterned or porous substrates.
Case Scenario and Real-life Application: A fraud case required recovery of latent fingerprints on colored, patterned banknotes. Traditional ninhydrin and powders were ineffective due to background interference. Quantum dots have been utilized to enhance the detection of latent fingerprints on various surfaces. In a study, CdTe quantum dots were functionalized to bind selectively to different fingerprint constituents. The QDs were applied to the surface via spraying and imaged under UV light, revealing clear ridge patterns even on multicolored and patterned surfaces like banknotes and aluminum cans. This approach allowed for multiplexed detection of overlapping prints, which traditional methods failed to achieve.

7.1.3. Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs)

Title: Electrochemical Fingerprint Detection Using Carbon Nanotubes
Source: *Prasad, V., et al.* (2020). *Role of Nanomaterials for Forensic Investigation and Latent Fingerprinting.* Journal of Forensic Sciences.
Background: CNTs offer high conductivity and surface area, making them ideal for integration with electrochemical sensors. They enhance sensitivity to biochemical markers in fingerprint residues.
Methods:

- CNTs were embedded in electrochemical sensors.
- Fingerprint residues were brought into contact with the sensor surface.
- Voltage changes corresponding to biochemical analytes were recorded, while CNTs also enhanced ridge visualization.

Outcome: The method detected trace biochemical markers, including metabolites and amino acids, while simultaneously visualizing ridge patterns.
Significance: CNTs enabled dual analysis—chemical profiling and ridge detection—on surfaces where traditional methods fail.
Application: CNT-based electrochemical sensors are used for forensic analysis on metallic tools, coins, and electronic devices, particularly in drug or toxin investigations.
Case Scenario and Real-life Application: A drug-related investigation required detection of residues from latent fingerprints on metallic surfaces. Conventional powders could only reveal minimal ridge patterns and provided no chemical information. Carbon nanotubes have been explored for their potential in detecting latent fingerprints. In a study, CNTs were integrated into electrochemical sensors to detect biochemical residues in fingerprints. The high surface area and conductivity of CNTs enhanced the sensitivity of detection methods. This approach allowed for the identification of specific compounds present in fingerprint residues, providing additional information for forensic analysis.

7.1.4. Magnetic Nanoparticles (MNPs)

Title: Magnetic Nanoparticles for Extraction and Concentration of Latent Fingerprints
Source: *Sahu, I., et al.* (2025). *Nanoparticles in Forensic Fingerprint Analysis: Enhancing Detection Methods.* Journal of Forensic Sciences.
Background: Magnetic nanoparticles can be functionalized to selectively bind fingerprint residues. An external magnetic field allows separation and concentration of residues, enhancing detection sensitivity.
Methods:

- MNPs were functionalized to target amino acids and lipids.
- The nanoparticles were applied to the surface and captured residues using a magnetic field.
- Optional fluorescent tags were used for visualization under UV light.

Outcome: The latent prints became clearly visible, with ridge patterns enhanced due to concentration of residues. Weak or partial prints that were previously undetectable were recovered.
Significance: Magnetic separation improves detection sensitivity, particularly on low-residue surfaces.
Application: Used in forensic labs for recovery of prints from metal objects, crime scene tools, and low-residue surfaces, improving both visibility and detection efficiency.

Case Scenario and Real-life Application: Latent fingerprints on polished metal surfaces were weak due to low residue amounts. Traditional powder and chemical methods failed to provide visible prints. Magnetic nanoparticles have been employed for the magnetic separation of fingerprint residues. In a study, MNPs were functionalized to bind to fingerprint residues and then manipulated using external magnetic fields. This technique allowed for the extraction and concentration of fingerprint components, facilitating their detection. The method was particularly useful on non-porous surfaces like metals and plastics, where traditional methods often fail.

7.1.5. Silica Nanoparticles

Title: Silica Nanoparticles for Enhanced Latent Fingerprint Visualization
Source: *Kumar, N., et al.* (2017). *Application of Nanotechnology in the Development of Latent Fingerprints*. Forensic Science International.
Background: Porous silica nanoparticles can encapsulate chemical reagents or fluorescent dyes. Controlled release allows improved interaction with fingerprint residues, enhancing visualization.
Methods:

- Silica nanoparticles were loaded with fluorescent dyes.
- Nanoparticles were applied via spray or brush, releasing dyes gradually to bind residues.
- Visualization was performed using UV light for high-contrast ridge details.

Outcome: Ridge patterns and minutiae were clearly visible even in aged or low-residue fingerprints. Fine details and pore structures were preserved.
Significance: Silica nanoparticles enable controlled reagent release, improving visualization while preserving evidence integrity.
Application: Applied in forensic labs for developing fingerprints on aged documents, textiles, and other low-residue surfaces.
Case Scenario and Real-life Application: A case involving aged fingerprints on paper and fabric surfaces required enhanced ridge contrast for identification. Conventional methods produced faint or incomplete prints. Silica nanoparticles have been employed to encapsulate reagents or enhance the visualization of fingerprint traces. In a study, silica nanoparticles were used to develop latent fingerprints on various surfaces. The porous nature of silica allowed for the controlled release of encapsulated substances, improving the quality of fingerprint development. This approach was effective on both porous and non-porous substrates, providing a versatile method for fingerprint visualization.

Nanoparticle	Functionalization	Detection Method	Substrate Type	Key Benefit
Gold (AuNPs)	Antibodies	LSPR, SERS	Non-porous, semi-porous	Dual ridge + chemical profiling
Quantum Dots	Specific ligands	Fluorescence	Porous, patterned	Multiplexed, overlapping prints
CNTs	Electrochemical sensor	Voltammetry	Non-porous, conductive	Detect biochemical residues
Magnetic NPs	Ligands	Magnetic separation + fluorescence	Metals, plastics	Concentration of residues
Silica NPs	Encapsulated dyes/reagents	Fluorescence/Colorimetric	Porous/non-porous	Controlled reagent release, improved contrast

7.2 Comparative Performance Metrics

Studies comparing nanotechnology-based latent fingerprint development with conventional techniques demonstrate clear advantages of using nanoparticles. In terms of **sensitivity**, nanoparticle-based methods can detect fingerprints that have been deposited for several weeks, whereas traditional powders or chemical reagents often fail to reveal prints after only a few days (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Zhang et al.*, 2012). Regarding **substrate versatility**, nanomaterials are effective across a wide range of surfaces,

including non-porous (metal, glass), porous (paper), and semi-porous (wood, leather), offering greater applicability than conventional methods (*Singhal et al.*, 2024; *Mejía et al.*, 2014).

Image quality is also significantly improved, as fingerprints developed using fluorescent nanomaterials, quantum dots, or metallic nanoparticles show enhanced ridge clarity, reduced background interference, and can be further refined with digital image processing (*Wang et al.*, 2017; *Zhang et al.*, 2012). In terms of **durability and stability**, prints treated with nanomaterials are more resistant to environmental conditions such as humidity and temperature fluctuations, maintaining visibility over longer periods compared to conventional techniques (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Kumar et al.*, 2017).

Moreover, **chemical detection** capabilities are expanded with nanoparticle-based approaches. Dual-mode analysis techniques, such as surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) and electrochemical sensing, allow simultaneous visualization of fingerprint ridges and chemical profiling of residues, including drugs, explosives, toxins, and personal care chemicals—something not possible with traditional methods (*Prasad et al.*, 2020; *Sahu et al.*, 2025).

Overall, these studies underscore that nanomaterial-assisted fingerprint development not only enhances visualization and stability but also adds functional chemical analysis, providing a more comprehensive forensic tool than conventional fingerprinting techniques.

CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Even with nanotechnology's potential advantages with respect to forensic fingerprint visualization, some hurdles and limitations avert its implementation in casework. These considerations run the gambit of health risks to practical limitations (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Assis et al.*, 2023; *Singhal et al.*, 2024).

Despite their remarkable capabilities, the application of nanoparticles in latent fingerprint detection faces several challenges and limitations that affect their practical use in forensic science. Gold nanoparticles (AuNPs), while highly effective due to their localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) and antibody-functionalization potential, are limited by the complexity of surface functionalization, which can result in uneven binding and partial visualization if not optimized (*Singhal et al.*, 2024; *Nallathamby & Xu*, 2010). Their performance is also inconsistent on highly porous or irregular surfaces such as textured fabrics or wood. Moreover, the synthesis of high-quality AuNPs is costly, and their LSPR-based detection is sensitive to environmental conditions such as pH, humidity, and ionic strength. Certain chemical modifiers used in functionalization can also pose minor health risks (*Champod et al.*, 2016; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

Quantum dots (QDs) share similar challenges, particularly regarding toxicity, as many QDs contain heavy metals such as cadmium or lead (*Wang et al.*, 2017; *Smith et al.*, 2017).. While their photostability surpasses that of traditional dyes, prolonged exposure to UV light during imaging can diminish fluorescence. The multiplexed detection of fingerprint constituents requires complex functionalization, and patterned, dark, or highly fluorescent surfaces can interfere with signal acquisition. Detection also depends on specialized UV or laser imaging equipment, increasing operational costs (*Wang et al.*, 2017; *Lu et al.*, 2015).

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) face issues of aggregation, which reduces surface area and detection sensitivity (*Prasad et al.*, 2020; *Lee et al.*, 2018). Functionalization is necessary for selective binding to biochemical residues, and inadequate preparation may lead to weak or inconsistent signals. Their effectiveness is mainly limited to conductive or semi-conductive surfaces, restricting their use on non-conductive materials without additional treatment. CNTs also present health risks due to bio-persistence and inhalation hazards, and their use requires specialized electrochemical instrumentation and expertise, limiting field deployment (*Prasad et al.*, 2020; *Lee et al.*, 2018).

Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) allow residue separation and concentration but can bind non-specifically to contaminants, producing background noise and reducing fingerprint clarity (*Chen et al.*, 2016; *Sahu et al.*, 2025). Their effectiveness depends on the substrate, with porous or textured surfaces reducing particle recovery efficiency. External magnetic manipulation requires specialized equipment, and improper use can displace or smear delicate prints. Functionalized MNPs are costly and require careful preparation to ensure specificity (*Sahu et al.*, 2025).

Silica nanoparticles (SiNPs), particularly those loaded with fluorescent dyes or reagents, enhance ridge visualization and allow controlled reagent release but are limited by substrate type (*Kumar et al.*, 2017). Their adhesion on non-porous surfaces may be insufficient without surface modification, and poor control over dye release can result in uneven staining. Background fluorescence from contaminants can reduce contrast, and the particle size must be carefully optimized; overly large particles reduce contact with residues, while very small particles can diffuse excessively, blurring ridge details. Visualization

typically requires UV illumination or fluorescence microscopy, increasing equipment costs and limiting portability (*Kumar et al.*, 2017; *Lu et al.*, 2015).

Across all nanoparticle types, several common gaps remain. Most methods require laboratory-based instrumentation and controlled conditions, limiting immediate on-site applicability. Standardized protocols for synthesis, functionalization, application, and imaging are lacking, leading to inconsistencies in outcomes across laboratories (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Assis et al.*, 2023). Cost and accessibility remain major challenges, especially in developing countries, where forensic facilities may lack resources to acquire sophisticated nanomaterials. The toxicological and environmental concerns of certain nanomaterials, particularly QDs and CNTs, further restrict their practical deployment. Nanoparticles can also be chemically unstable, susceptible to oxidation, aggregation, or photobleaching, and some fluorescent nanomaterials require dark, cold storage, limiting long-term functionality and portability (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Wang et al.*, 2017).

Overall, while nanoparticles offer enhanced sensitivity, selectivity, and versatility compared to conventional methods, their effective implementation in forensic practice requires careful optimization of functionalization and application methods, safety protocols to mitigate toxicity, development of cost-effective production, standardized procedures for laboratory and field use, and strategies for improved storage and stability (*Assis et al.*, 2023; *Singhal et al.*, 2024; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

ETHICAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

With the acceleration of forensic science and the use of nanotechnology, especially in the detection and analysis of fingerprints, many ethical and legal issues are emerging which must be addressed for lawful and responsible use (*Ferguson & Gill*, 2007; *Assis et al.*, 2023; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

9.1 Data Privacy

The improved detection limit of nanomaterials will allow for the collection of latent prints that otherwise would not be detectable and therefore the issue of whether it is responsible to collect unintentional or incidental evidence will require careful consideration (*Assis et al.*, 2023; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020). Further, the detailed chemical or biological information available from the fingerprint residue (e.g., presence of drugs and health biomarker information, etc.) creates a potential for privacy violations, given the possibility of misuse (*Ferguson & Gill*, 2007).. Information such as this, without stringent data protection policies in place, raises the potential for data to be retained, shared, and analyzed without the knowledge or consent of individuals. It is clear there is an urgent need to bring forensic nanotechnology processes in line with privacy laws and biometric data regulations (e.g., GDPR, & India's DPDP Act) (*Assis et al.*, 2023).

9.2 Legal Admissibility

Many of the fingerprinting techniques based on nanotech are at an experimental, or validation, stage which could make it difficult to accept in the courtroom. For any evidence to be received, it must meet the legal threshold rich includes criteria such as Daubert (USA) or Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act (***Currently Section 39 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam***) (*Ferguson & Gill*, 2007; *Champod et al.*, 2016). These thresholds require evidence demonstrate reliability, and general acceptance by the scientific community. The absence of a standardized protocol, reproducibility between laboratories, and accreditation can easily instill doubt in judges or lawyers about the reliability of these methods. Lastly, even where the evidence was developed through nanomaterials that were something unknown or very complex, defense teams could selectively challenge and declare it speculative and unproven scientifically (*Assis et al.*, 2023; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

9.3 Consent in Advanced Techniques

Both the new forensic methods involving nanomaterials and similar techniques can retrieve not just the fingerprint patterns, but potentially other chemical or genetic residues as well. Applying either technique to personal items or surfaces, without the subject's knowledge or permission, may infringe on their individual rights, particularly in civil or noncriminal matters (*Ferguson & Gill*, 2007). Ethical dilemmas arise instead when interpreting data relating to biometric or health matters without permission from the subject. In some jurisdictions, any consent may be a requirement in relation to intrusive and novel forensic processes, especially when the method would generally exceed the original scope of traditional fingerprinting (*Assis et al.*, 2023; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The integration of nanotechnology with emerging technologies holds significant potential to revolutionize latent fingerprint detection in forensic applications. Ongoing advances aim to address current limitations, expand forensic capabilities, and provide more efficient, accurate, and environmentally sustainable solutions (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020; *Assis et al.*, 2023).

10.1 Integration with Artificial Intelligence and Advanced Imaging:

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) algorithms, when combined with nanotechnology-based fingerprint imaging systems, can significantly enhance pattern recognition and classification. AI can automatically analyze complex fluorescent or spectroscopic data obtained from nanomaterials, removing human bias and error (*Zhang et al.*, 2012; *Wang et al.*, 2017). Algorithms can be trained to differentiate true ridge patterns from background signals on challenging substrates, including textured, multicolored, or contaminated surfaces (*Ma et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, AI-driven predictive models could guide the selection of appropriate nanomaterials and reagents for large-scale forensic databases, such as AFIS, improving both efficiency and accuracy in evidence processing. Coupling nanomaterials with advanced imaging techniques—such as hyperspectral imaging, Raman mapping, and electrochemical detection—can facilitate dual-mode fingerprint analysis, providing simultaneous visualization and chemical profiling (*Singh et al.*, 2018; *Lee et al.*, 2018).

10.2 Portable and Field-Deployable Nanotech Devices:

Future forensic applications will likely include miniaturized, portable devices for on-site fingerprint detection using nanoparticles (*Mohammed*, 2025; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020). These kits could incorporate nanoparticle sprayers, UV or laser visualization modules, and Raman spectroscopy bands, allowing investigators to capture high-resolution latent prints at the crime scene. Portable systems would be especially valuable in rural or resource-limited regions where centralized forensic laboratories are scarce. Real-time analysis using mobile imaging devices would reduce the delay between evidence collection and processing, expediting investigations and increasing the utility of nanoparticle-based methods in the field (*Zhao et al.*, 2020).

10.3 Multifunctional and Hybrid Nanoparticles:

The development of hybrid nanoparticles that combine fluorescence, magnetic, and plasmonic properties offers multifunctional capabilities, enabling simultaneous extraction, visualization, and chemical analysis of latent fingerprints (*Jeong et al.*, 2015; *Singhal et al.*, 2024). Stimuli-responsive nanoparticles, which alter their properties in response to pH, humidity, or specific biochemical residues, could further enhance selectivity and specificity for fingerprint components, improving detection on diverse substrates (*Kumar et al.*, 2017; *Chen et al.*, 2016).

10.4 Sustainable and Biocompatible Nanomaterials:

To address health and environmental concerns associated with conventional nanoparticles, future trends will focus on eco-friendly and biocompatible alternatives. Carbon dots derived from natural sources, silica nanoparticles, and green-synthesized metal nanoparticles using plant extracts are promising candidates (*Lu et al.*, 2015; *Grover et al.*, 2024). These materials reduce toxicity risks, simplify waste disposal, and enable safer laboratory and field applications. Their cost-effective production could also improve accessibility for forensic laboratories worldwide. Biodegradable nanomaterials further minimize long-term environmental impact while maintaining high detection efficiency (*Tiwari et al.*, 2018).

10.5 Expanded Chemical Profiling and Dual-Mode Analysis:

Nanoparticles can be functionalized to detect trace substances—such as drugs, explosives, toxins, and personal care chemicals—alongside ridge patterns (*Singh et al.*, 2018; *Wang et al.*, 2019). This dual-mode approach allows simultaneous visualization and chemical characterization of fingerprints, providing richer forensic evidence and strengthening investigative leads (*Lee et al.*, 2018; *Zhao et al.*, 2020).

10.6 Database Creation and Pattern Recognition:

Establishing global databases of nanoparticle-enhanced fingerprints can standardize analysis, facilitate comparisons, and train forensic analysts (*Assis et al.*, 2023). Machine learning models trained on such data could automate ridge enhancement, distinguish overlapping prints, and improve identification accuracy, ultimately reducing human error and increasing confidence in forensic conclusions (*Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

10.7 Future Outlook:

Overall, the future of nanotechnology in forensic fingerprint detection lies in the convergence of multifunctional nanomaterials, AI-assisted imaging, portable devices, and environmentally sustainable approaches. These advancements promise faster, more sensitive, and highly accurate fingerprint analysis,

extending forensic capabilities beyond current laboratory constraints and enabling more efficient crime scene investigation and evidence management (*Mohammed*, 2025; *Assis et al.*, 2023; *Bhati & Tripathy*, 2020).

CONCLUSION

The incorporation of nanotechnology into forensic fingerprint visualization marks a major progression in the discipline. Nanomaterials are leading the development of next-generation forensic tools thanks to their enhanced sensitivity, surface compatibility, and ability for multiplex detection. Ongoing interdisciplinary research and ethical examination will be crucial for the responsible use of these technologies.

REFERENCES

[1] Almog, J. (2016). *Nanotechnology and the latent fingerprint: A new dimension in forensic science.* Journal of Forensic Sciences, 61(2), 474–486.
[2] Assis, A. M. L., et al. (2023). From Nanomaterials to Macromolecules: Innovative Approaches in Fingerprint Detection. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Forensic Science, 5*(1), e1475.
[3] Bécue, A. (2016). *Emerging fields in the applications of Raman spectroscopy: Fingerprints and nanomaterials.* TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 77, 146–156.
[4] Bhattacharya, S., et al. (2016). Nanotechnology: Principles and applications. *Journal of Nanoscience, 12*(3), 45–60.
[5] Bhati, K., & Tripathy, D. B. (2020). Role of nanoparticles in latent fingerprinting: An update. *Letters in Applied NanoBioScience, 9*(3), 1427–1443.
[6] Cao, Y., et al. (2019). Metallic nanoparticles in forensic science. *Nanomaterials, 9*(7), 1001.
[7] Champod, C., Lennard, C., Margot, P., & Stoilovic, M. (2016). *Fingerprints and other ridge skin impressions* (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
[8] Chen, H., et al. (2016). Magnetic nanoparticles in biological sample separation. *Analytical Chemistry, 88*(12), 6141–6149.
[9] Chen, H., et al. (2022). Recent progress in visualization and analysis of latent fingerprints. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 67*(6), 2041–2050.
[10] D'Elia, V., Rubio, A., & García-Ruiz, C. (2020). *Nanotechnology-based SERS for fingerprint chemical mapping.* Forensic Chemistry, 20, 100268.
[11] Dong, X., Li, J., & Chen, X. (2020). *Recent advances in nanomaterial-based fingerprint visualization techniques.* Analytica Chimica Acta, 1123, 1–22.
[12] Ferguson, N., & Gill, P. (2007). Forensic science standards for evidence admissibility in courts: From Daubert to Frye and beyond. *Forensic Science Policy & Management, 1*(1), 15–22.
[13] Garg, R., Singh, R., & Chauhan, P. (2022). *Hybrid nanomaterial systems for forensic fingerprint detection.* Microchimica Acta, 189(7), 276.
[14] Grover, A., et al. (2024). A comprehensive review on the detection of latent fingermarks using carbon dots. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences, 14*(1), 1–12.
[15] Huang, H., Zhang, X., & Lin, Y. (2020). *Electrochemical fingerprint detection using nanostructured sensors.* Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 321, 128523.
[16] Jeong, Y., et al. (2015). Nanomaterials in forensic fingerprint detection. *Forensic Science International, 250*, 101–110.
[17] Kaur, P., Kumar, R., & Gupta, S. (2021). *Dual-mode hybrid nanoprobes for latent fingerprint imaging and chemical sensing.* Forensic Science International, 325, 110881.
[18] Kumar, P., et al. (2017). Silica nanoparticles for fingerprint visualization. *Forensic Chemistry, 5*, 25–32.
[19] Lai, W., Wang, Y., & Liu, J. (2018). *SERS-active nanostructures for forensic residue detection in fingerprints.* ACS Applied Nano Materials, 1(3), 986–994.
[20] Li, M., Zhang, Y., & Wang, T. (2020). *Fluorescent–SERS dual-mode nanoprobe for fingerprint detection.* ACS Sensors, 5(4), 1049–1056.
[21] Lin, Z., Chen, H., & Luo, X. (2021). *Portable electrochemical systems for forensic fingerprint analysis.* Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 180, 113150.
[22] Liu, F., Zhao, W., & Xu, Y. (2019). *Fluorescent nanomaterials for latent fingerprint detection on complex substrates.* Forensic Chemistry, 14, 100169.
[23] Lee, H. C., & Gaensslen, R. E. (2010). *Advances in fingerprint technology* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.

[24] Lee, J., et al. (2018). Carbon nanostructures for trace detection. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 10*(15), 12345–12355.
[25] Lu, H., Zhang, L., Li, Y., & Su, B. (2015). Carbon dots for fingerprint detection and visualization. *Nanoscale Research Letters, 10*(1), 1–8.
[26] Ma, K., Zhang, H., & Yu, J. (2019). Advances in nanomaterials for forensic analysis: Latent fingerprint visualization and beyond. *Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 113*, 102–118.
[27] Mejía, J. A., Rojas, R., & Cubides, M. (2014). Gold nanoparticle-based methods for latent fingerprint detection on non-porous surfaces. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 59*(6), 1512–1518.
[28] Mejía, J., et al. (2014). Use of gold nanoparticles for latent fingerprint detection on non-porous surfaces. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 59*(3), 758–763.
[29] Mohammed, D. A. (2025). Advances in nanotechnology for latent fingerprint detection. *Biosciences, Biotechnology Research Asia, 22*(1), 1–10.
[30] Nallathamby, P. D., & Xu, X.-H. N. (2010). Design and characterization of gold nanoparticle-based nanoprobes for highly sensitive and specific molecular detection. *Analytical Chemistry, 82*(10), 4131–4139.
[31] Sodhi, G. S., & Kaur, J. (2001). Powder method for detecting latent fingerprints: A review. *Forensic Science International, 120*(3), 172–176.
[32] Singhal, M., et al. (2024). Unveiling the power of nanoparticles. *NanoBioLetters*.
[33] Singh, R., et al. (2018). Nanosensors for drug detection in forensic science. *Analytical Methods, 10*(12), 1430–1445.
[34] Smith, A., et al. (2017). Quantum dots in forensic analysis. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 62*(5), 1250–1258.
[35] Tiwari, P., et al. (2018). Advantages of nanotechnology in forensic applications. *Materials Today: Proceedings, 5*(2), 5412–5420.
[36] Wang, L., et al. (2019). Nanoparticle-based colorimetric detection of explosives. *Talanta, 200*, 215–224.
[37] Wang, M., et al. (2017). Fluorescent nanomaterials for the development of latent fingerprints. *Journal of Forensic Sciences, 62*(4), 1015–1023.
[38] Wang, Z., Li, Y., & Zhao, J. (2022). *Advances in nanotechnology-based forensic fingerprint analysis.* Nano Today, 42, 101380.
[39] Xu, L., Fang, Y., & Zhang, L. (2021). *Quantum dot-based fluorescent imaging of latent fingerprints.* Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 197, 111439.
[40] Zhang, L., Liu, J., Li, Y., & Li, B. (2012). Latent fingerprint imaging using CdTe quantum dots conjugated with D-glucosamine. *Forensic Science International, 217*(1–3), 13–17.
[41] Zhang, R., et al. (2012). Application of CdTe quantum dots for latent fingerprint detection on paper surfaces. *Forensic Science International, 223*(1–3), 33–38.
[42] Zhang, R., et al. (2021). Nanocomposites for enhanced forensic applications. *Materials Science & Engineering C, 120*, 111732.
[43] Zhao, P., Huang, Y., & Wang, L. (2020). *Metal-organic frameworks for long-term fluorescent fingerprint imaging.* Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 8(5), 1042–1052.
[44] Zhao, X., et al. (2020). DNA and protein detection using nanostructures. *Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 165*, 112421.
[45] Zhou, Q., Li, Y., & Sun, D. (2019). *Electrochemical nanomaterials for forensic trace detection.* Electrochimica Acta, 301, 416–425.